

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority Name: Todd County School District 66-1

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): January 10, 2023

Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: June 1, 2023

Date review summary was publicly posted: 6/2/23

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

General Program Participation

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

- School Breakfast Program
 National School Lunch Program
 Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
 Afterschool Snack
 Special Milk Program
 Seamless Summer Option

2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

- Community Eligibility Provision
 Special Provision 1
 Special Provision 2
 Special Provision 3

Review Findings

3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?
 Yes No

This institution is an equal opportunity provider.

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	A. Program Access and Reimbursement		
		YES	NO	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Certification and Benefit Issuance
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verification
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Meal Counting and Claiming
Finding(s) Details: 1) 2)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality		
		YES	NO	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Meal Components and Quantities
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Offer versus Serve
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
Finding(s) Details: 1) Rosebud ES Lunch: The planned menu was not followed for the week of menu review. The substitutions made were not acceptable to meet program requirements. 2) Rosebud ES Lunch: Minimum requirements not met for the week of review for M/MA, grain, dark green vegetable subgroup. 3) Lakeview ES Breakfast: Minimum requirements not met for the week of review for grains & fruit. More than half of the fruit/vegetable offerings were in the form of juice. 4) Lakeview ES Lunch: The planned menu was not followed for the week of menu review. The substitutions made were not acceptable to meet program requirements. 5) Lakeview ES Lunch: Minimum requirements not met for the week of review for grains, dark green vegetable subgroup. 6) Lakeview ES: No OVS signage on the serving line for breakfast or lunch. 7) Todd County ES Breakfast: Minimum requirements not met for the week of review for fruit. More than half of the fruit/vegetable offerings were in the form of juice. 8) Todd County ES Lunch: The planned menu was not followed for the week of menu review. The substitutions made were not acceptable to meet program requirements. 9) Todd County ES Lunch: Minimum requirements not met for the week of review for M/MA, dark green vegetable subgroup.				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	C. School Nutrition Environment		
		YES	NO	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Food Safety
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Local School Wellness Policy
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Competitive Foods
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other: Professional Standards, Buy American, Outreach
Finding(s) Details: 1) An assessment of the Local Wellness Policy was not completed.				

		2)
X	<input type="checkbox"/>	D. Civil Rights
		Finding(s) Details: The SFA did not publish a public release. At or near the beginning of each year, the SFA must post a public release to the local media.